İ						
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California					
2	CALVIN W. TORRANCE,					
3	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 31141					
4 .	Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 500					
5	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2560					
6	Attorneys for Complainant					
7						
8						
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS					
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. AGS 1995-34 Against:					
13) ACCUSATION					
14	JACK ALPAN, DDS 2440 W. 3rd St. Los Angeles, California 90057)					
15) Dental Certificate No. 18279)					
16	RESPONDENT.)					
17)					
18	The Complainant, Georgetta Coleman, for cause of					
19	accusation against Jack Alpan, D.D.S., alleges as follows:					
20	<u>PARTIES</u>					
21	1. The Complainant, Georgetta Coleman, is the					
22	Executive Officer of the Board of Dental Examiners (hereinafter					
23	the "Board") of the Department of Consumer Affairs of the					
24	State of California and makes this Accusation solely in her					
	official capacity.					
25	2. In 1965, the Board issued RESPONDENT Jack Alpan,					
26	D.D.S. (hereinafter "RESPONDENT") Certificate No. 18279 to					
27	practice dentistry in the State of California pursuant to the					

3 5

Dental Practice Act, Division 2, Chapter 4, Section 1600 et. seg., of the California Business and Professions Code (all statutory references hereinafter are to that code unless otherwise indicated). At all times material herein, RESPONDENT was and now is licensed by the Board as a dentist. His license expires on November 30, 1996.

JURISDICTION

- Under section 820 of the code, whenever it appears 3. that any licentiate under the Dental Practice Act may be unable to practice dentistry safely because the licentiate's ability to practice is impaired due to mental illness, or physical illness affecting competency, the Board may order the licentiate to be examined by done or more physicians or psychologists.
- Under section 821 of the code, the failure of any licentiate under the Dental Practice Act to comply with an order issued under Section 820 shall constitute grounds for revocation or suspension of the licentiate's certificate.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION -Failure to Comply With Order for Psychiatric Examination

- Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the preamble and each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 4, hereinabove.
- The dental certificate of RESPONDENT is subject to discipline under section 821 of the code in that RESPONDENT failed to comply with an Order for Psychiatric Examination issued under section 820; the details of which are set forth more particularly below:
 - On or about

,1996, the Board

8

9

10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

5

. 9.

10 11

12

13

14

15 16 17

20

21

22

18

19

23

24 25

26

27

issued an order to RESPONDENT. Said order commended that RESPONDENT be examined by Michael Gales, M.D., a psychiatrist, on March 28, 1996, at 9:45 a.m. Said order was personally served on RESPONDENT on or about March 6, 1996.

B. On March 28, 1996, RESPONDENT appeared at the office of Dr. Gales at the appointed time, but refused to submit to the examination unless Dr. Gales signed a document which would have made all statements made during said examination unable to be considered in any legal proceeding.

Dr. Gales refused to sign said document, and RESPONDENT refused to submit to the examination.

COST RECOVERY

- Section 125.3 of the code provides that, in any 7. order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the Department of Consumer Affairs, the board may request the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- Under section 101, subdivision (a) of the code, the Board of Dental Examiners was and is a board within the Department of Consumer Affairs of the State of California. Pursuant to section 125.3 of the code, the Board hereby requests the Administrative Law Judge who issues a Proposed Decision in this matter to include an order which provides for the recovery by the Board of the costs of investigation and enforcement of this case against RESPONDENT, according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be held and that the Board of Dental Examiners make its order:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Dental Certificate No. 18279 held by RESPONDENT Jack Alpan, D.D.S.
- 2. Directing RESPONDENT to pay to the Board the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case under section 125.3 of the code, according to proof.
- 3. Taking such other and further action as may be deemed proper and appropriate.

DATED:	 5-	:7-	91	

Georgetta Coleman Executive Officer

Board of Dental Examiners Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant